

STATE OF MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 242 STATE STREET 18 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE

04333-0018





September 14, 1998



Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

> CC DOCKET 96-45, FEDERAL-STATE JOINT BOARD ON UNIVERSAL Re:

SERVICE

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed is an Original and twelve copies of the Maine Public Utilities Commission ex parte presentation made to various staff members of the Commission on September 4, 1998, in the above docket. Please date stamp one copy and return in the enclosed self-addressed envelope.

Sincerely,

Joel Shifman

International Transcription Service CC: **Brad Ramsay**



Agenda Meeting with Kathy Brown

- I. Introductions
- II Three different views of the Federal Universal Service Fund Purpose. (See handout)
- III. The importance of the second view in terms of the rural states and the FCC carrying out the comparable and affordable rate objectives of the Act.
- IV. Brief discussion of ad hoc group (efforts, objectives) and
 work thus far. (See handout #2)
- V. Discussion of overall fund size and how the three different views fit together considering the funding limitations.
- VI. Need for model output data in advance of the Tampa meeting in order to price out various options.

L OF CRIAINED

High Cost Support: Three Issues

8/27/98

	"Interstate Access"	"High Cost"	"Implicit Subsidy"
Rate Jurisdiction	Interstate	State	State
Rates in Issue	Interstate Access, SLC, PICC	Local, state toll	Local, state toll
Support is needed because of	competition.	preexisting cost.	competition.
Immediate Risk of Failure	High-cost areas: slows entry of facilities-based CLECs	Rates not reasonably comparable	High-cost areas: slows entry of facilities-based CLECs
	Low-cost areas: incentive for inefficient bypass of ILEC facilities	Rates not affordable	Low-cost areas: incentive for inefficient bypass of ILEC facilities
Long-Range Risk of Failure	ILECs lose most desirable customers	Loss of network penetration	ILECs lose most desirable customers
"Implicit Subsidy" 1	- Low-cost areas to High-cost areas - Short-loop customers to long-loop customers - Customers to ILECs due to noneconomic pricing	None	- Low-cost areas to High-cost areas - Short-loop customers to long-loop customers - Business customers to residential customers - Toll & access customers to local service customers - Customers to ILECs due to noneconomic pricing

AUG-27-1998 09:12

92%

. P.02

^{1.} Not all observers agree that each of these is an "implicit subsidy."

High Cost Support: Three Issues

page 2 8/27/98

	"Interstate Access"	"High Cost"	"Implicit Subsidy"
When Support Arrives, It Must Coordinate With State Policy On	- UNE price averaging	- State support, if any, under § 254(f).	- State support, if any, under § 254(f). - UNE price averaging - Retail price averaging - Higher local rates for long loops - Rate designs for business & residential customers - Rate designs for toll & access v. local
Scale of Cost Study Needed to Calculate Support	Fine scale (wire center, CBG, or smaller)	Large scale (ILEC study area or state)	Fine scale (wire center, CBG, or smaller)
Primary Driver of Support Calculation	Number and cost of high- cost customers above benchmark.	Proportion of high-cost to low-cost customers. Number and cost of high cost customers above benchmark.	
Size of Problem	\$6 billion (GTE)	\$2 billion (all carriers)	\$8 billion (excluding \$2 billion from high average cost)
New Federal Program Would Displace Revenues From	Interstate access charges	State rates and existing federal programs (below)	State rates
Existing Federal Explicit Support (\$ to non-rural carriers)	- Long Term Support (\$0 MM) - TIC (\$ MM)	- Loop Support (\$ 217 MM) ² - DEM Weighting (\$ 0 MM) ³	None

^{2.} Estimate from Payers and Receivers: Various Proposals for the High Cost Fund, Telecommunications Industries Analysis Project, 3/10/98, rev. 4/22/98 at 4 (assumes "non-rural companies are those with a total of more than 100,000 access lines).

^{3.} *Id*.

Ad Hoc Universal Service Principles

- The principal purpose of federal high cost support is to maintain reasonably comparable intrastate rates.
- Consumers in rural, insular and high cost areas should have access to a similar spectrum
 telecommunications services as consumers in urban areas, at rates that are reasonably
 comparable to rates charged for similar services in urban areas elsewhere in the country.
- The federal high cost support program should be as small as possible.
- Revenues for the federal high cost support program should be derived from a charge on only the interstate revenues of interstate carriers.
- Collection and distribution of high cost support should be competitively neutral.
- Federal support should create appropriate incentives for investment in the network.
- Federal support for high cost areas should be compatible with the method of separating costs and revenues between interstate and intrastate jurisdictions.

- Federal support for high cost areas should be distributed in a manner determined by state commissions and that is compatible with the state's decisions on related issues of rate deaveraging and establishing the size of service areas.
- Carrier earnings should be based upon success attracting customers in a competitive market, no based upon exploiting irregularities of state and federal policy.
- Federal support should be based upon cost, and should be based upon the differences among the states in the ability to provide reasonably comparable rates with internally generated explicit subsidies. Federal support should permit each state to have rates equal to the overall national average, which is an acceptable definitions of rates "reasonably comparable" to urban rates.
- Both forward looking cost and embedded cost should set upper limits on federal support.
 This will ensure that any errors generated by forward-looking cost models do not have unduly harsh consequences.
- Federal support should consist of a single system. No distinction should be made between rural and non-rural carriers, nor between loop and switch costs.
- Carriers should be assured that federal support will not decrease until the reliability of forward looking models has been securely established.

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	CC Docket No. 96-45
Federal-State Joint Board on)	
Universal Service)	
)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing documents have been furnished to the parties on the attached service list this 14th day of September, 1998.

Joel B. Shifman, Esquire

Maine Public Utilities Commission

242 State Street

Augusta, Maine 04333-0018

James Casserly
rederal Communicatin Commission
Office of Commissioner Ness
1919 M Street, Room 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

Bryan Clopton
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8615
Washington, D.C. 20554

Daniel Gonzalez
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Commissioner Chong
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

L. Charles Keller

deral Communications Commission

M Street, N.W., Room 8623

Washington, D.C. 20554

Diane Law Federal Communications Commission 2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8920 Washington, D.C. 20554

Tejal Mehta
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8625
Washington, D.C. 20554

John Nakahata
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Chairman
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814
nington, D.C. 20554

John Clark
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, Room 8619
Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission 2100 M street, N.W., Room 8922 Washington, D.C. 20554

Emily Hoffnar Federal Communications Commission 2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8918 Washington, D.C. 20554

David Krech
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7130
Washington, D.C. 20554

Robert Loube Federal Communications Commission 2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8914 Washington, D.C. 20554

John Morabito
Deputy Division Chief,
Accounting and Audits
Federal Communications commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kimberly Parker
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8609
Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Reed E. Hundt hairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814-0101 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Rachelle B. Chong Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919-M Street, N.W., Room 844-0105 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder Commissioner South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capitol 500 East Capitol Street Pierre, South Dakota 57501-5070

Paul Pederson
State Staff Chair
"ssouri Public Service Commission
D. Box 360
Truman State Office Building
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

The Honorable Kenneth McClure Vice Chairman Missouri Public Service commission 301 W. High Street, Suite 530 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Charles Bolle
South Dakota Public Utilities
Commission
State Capitol. 500 E. Capital Avenue
Pierre. South Dakota 57501-5070

Lorraine Kenyon
Alaska Public Utilities Commission
1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400
Anchorage, AK 99501

The Honorable Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832-0104 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Sharon L. Nelson Chairman Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission Chandler Plaza Building 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive, S.W. Olympia, WA 98504-7250

The Honorable Julia Johnson Commissioner Florida Public Service commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Samuel Loudenslager
Arkansas Public Service Commission
1000 Center Street
P.O. Box C-400
Little Rock Arkansas 72203-0400

Martha S. Hogerty
Public Counsel for the State of Missouri
P.O. Box 7800
Harry S. Truman Building, Room 250
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Mark Nadel
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 542
Washington, D.C. 20554

Debra M. Kriete
Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Mark Long
lorida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Gerald Gunter Building
Tailahassee, FL 32399-0850

Philip F. McClelland
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer
Advocate
1425 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Terry Monroe
New York Public Service Commission
Three Empire Plaza
Albany, NY 12223

Jeanine Poltronieri
Federal Communications Commission
700 L Street, N.W., Suite 257-1600E2
shington, D.C. 20554

Brian Roberts
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3298

Pamela Szymczak
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257
Washington, D.C. 20036

Lisa Boehley
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
hington, D.C. 20554

Sandra Makeeff
Iowa Utilities Board
Lucas State Office Building
Des Moines, IA 50319

Michael A. McRae D.C. Office of the People's Counsel 1133 15th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005

Lee Palagyi
Washington Utilities and Transporation
Commission
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

James Bradford Ramsay
National Association of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners
1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20423

Gary Seigel
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20036

International Transcription Service 2100 M Street, N.W. Suite 140 Washington, D.C. 20037

Deonne Bruning
Nebraska Public Service Commission
300 The Atrium
1200 N Street,
P.O. Box 94927
Lincoln, NE 68509-4927

Sarry Payne
Indians Office of the Consumer Counsel
100 North Sense Avenue, Room N501
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2208

Lori Wright
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8603
Washington, D.C. 20554

Richard Smith
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8605
Washington, D.C. 20554

Sheryl Todd
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8611
Washington, D.C. 20554